



Type: Extraordinary Action (IC)

Name: Steven Weller, DC

Location: North Vancouver, British Columbia

Date of Inquiry Committee Decision: March 31, 2026

Nature of Action: By order dated April 7, 2026, a Panel of the Inquiry Committee (the “Panel”) of the College of Complementary Health Professionals (the “College”) ordered that Dr. Steven Weller, DC (the “Registrant”)’s practice of chiropractic be subject to the following limits to protect the public during an investigation (the “Order”), pursuant to section 35(1)(a) of the *Health Professions Act* (the “Act”):

- a) The Registrant is prohibited from engaging in any non-therapeutic physical contact with patients, including social gestures such as hugging, and any open-handed smoothing or “tapping” of the buttocks;
- b) The Registrant must, by 6:00pm every other Friday while the Order is in effect, provide to the College a copy of his treatment calendar including the name and contact information for each patient treated in the preceding weeks; and
- c) The Registrant may be subject to random audits of his practice, and the College may contact any patient to discuss the Registrant’s compliance with the terms of the Panel’s Order.

Legal Framework: Section 35(1) of the Act authorized the Panel to implement limits and conditions on a registrant’s practice to protect the public from harm before an investigation or discipline hearing is complete. This is not a final disposition, but an urgent, protective, and temporary measure that will remain in effect until the matter is concluded. Measures taken under section 35(1) of the Act pertain to allegations which are unproven unless admitted by a registrant or determined by the Discipline Tribunal.

Reasons: The Order was made following a complaint from a patient made on February 26, 2026, who alleged that the Registrant engaged in a pattern of boundary violations and inappropriate touch during treatment. The Panel considered the allegations serious and recognized the presence of factors that render the alleged behaviour likely to recur.

Having weighed the different options and the circumstances of this case, the Panel concluded that the above-described limits and conditions are proportionate and sufficient to protect the public during the investigation or pending a discipline hearing.