



Type: Extraordinary Action (IC)

Name: Christian Aquilizan, RMT

Location: Maple Ridge, British Columbia

Date of Action: March 26, 2026

Nature of Action: On March 26, 2026, a Panel of the Inquiry Committee (the “Panel”) of the College of Complementary Health Professionals (the “College”) ordered that Christian Aquilizan (the “Registrant”)’s practice of Registered Massage Therapy be subject to the following limits to protect the public during an investigation, pursuant to section 35(1)(a) of the *Health Professions Act* (the “Act”):

- a) The Registrant is prohibited from treating patients who identify as female;
- b) The Registrant is prohibited from treating patients under the age of 18 years old;
- c) The Registrant must provide the following notice in the waiting room of any clinic where he provides treatment, in any treatment room where he provides treatment, and in a prominent position on all online booking pages associated with the Registrant in English and any other language that the Registrant Practices in:

Christian Aquilizan, RMT, is prohibited from treating patients who identify as female and patients under the age of 18, by order of the Inquiry Committee of the College of Complementary Health Professionals of British Columbia, dated March 26, 2026. The order is available on the College’s website at:

<https://cchpbc.ca/public/>

Where printed, the notice must be printed on 8 x 11 inch paper and in 26 point font or larger.

Legal Framework: Section 35(1) of the *Act* authorizes the Panel to implement limits and conditions on practice to protect the public from harm before an investigation or discipline hearing is complete. This is not a final disposition, but an urgent, protective, and temporary measure that will remain in effect until the matter is concluded. Measures taken under section 35(1) of the *Act* pertain to allegations which are unproven unless admitted by a registrant or determined by the Discipline Committee.

Reasons: The Order was made following a complaint from a member of the public which alleged that the Registrant engaged in sexual misconduct regarding a female patient who is under 18 years old. The Panel considered the allegations serious and recognized the presence of factors that render the alleged sexual misconduct likely to recur. The allegation is a serious breach of boundaries that constitutes sexual misconduct.



The Panel was concerned that there is a risk of the conduct being repeated if an interim order is not made. Having weighed the different options and the circumstances of this case, the Panel concluded that the above-described limits and conditions are proportionate and sufficient to protect the public during the investigation or pending a discipline hearing.